

# Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Residential at Bundawarra	o reduce the minimum lot size f h Road Estate and Rosella Stree	
Proposal Summary ;		emora LEP 2010 to reduce the m and Rosella Street Estate from	
PP Number :	PP_2016_TEMOR_001_00	Dop File No	16/13944
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received	21-Oct-2016	LGA covered :	Temora
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Temora Shire Council
State Electorate :	MURRUMBIDGEE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Precinct		
Location Details			
Street : Bui	ndawarrah Rd and Rosella Str	reet	
Suburb : Ter	nora City	: Temora	Postcode : 2666
Land Parcel : All	land zoned R5 as shown in pl	anning proposal.	
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Nathan Foster		
Contact Number :	0242249459		
Contact Email :	nathan.foster@planning.nsv	v.gov.au	
RPA Contact Detai	ls		
Contact Name :	Claire Golder		
Contact Number :	0269801108		
Contact Email :	cgolder@temora.nsw.gov.au	L	
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Deanne Frankel		
Contact Number :	0242249468		
Contact Email :	deanne.frankel@planning.ns	sw.gov.au	
Land Release Data	I		±2
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	1

	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
	No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	95
	Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :			
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? ;	Νο	s.	
	If Yes, comment :			
	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting Notes :			
	External Supporting Notes :	Lot Residential to increase e	s to increase residential densitie fficiency and economic use of a yle opportunities and overcome	vailable land to provide
	5 C			
٩d	equacy Assessmen	t		
	equacy Assessmen Statement of the obj			
		ectives - s55(2)(a)		
	Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes	d lot size is to make more efficien choice and viability.	nt use of available land to
ę	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment :	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced	choice and viability.	nt use of available land to
ę	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment :	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced increase housing supply, sions provided - s55(2)(	choice and viability.	nt use of available land to
ę	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment : Explanation of provi	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced increase housing supply, sions provided - s55(2)( /isions provided? Yes The planning proposal ide	choice and viability.	ra Local Environmental Plan
E	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment : Explanation of provi	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced increase housing supply, sions provided - s55(2)( /isions provided? Yes The planning proposal ide 2010 Lot Size Map – reduc	choice and viability. b) entifies the changes to the Temo	ra Local Environmental Plan
E	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment : Explanation of provi Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced increase housing supply, sions provided - s55(2)( /isions provided? Yes The planning proposal ide 2010 Lot Size Map – reduc	choice and viability. b) entifies the changes to the Temo cing the minimum lot size from 2	ra Local Environmental Plan
E	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment : Explanation of provi Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced increase housing supply, sions provided - s55(2)( visions provided? Yes The planning proposal ide 2010 Lot Size Map – reduced )(c) y been agreed to by the Direct	choice and viability. b) entifies the changes to the Temo cing the minimum lot size from 2 or General? No 3.1 Residential Zones	ra Local Environmental Plan ha to 1ha.
E	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment : Explanation of provi Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced increase housing supply, sions provided - s55(2)( visions provided? Yes The planning proposal ide 2010 Lot Size Map - reduced )(c) y been agreed to by the Direct tified by RPA :	choice and viability. b) entifies the changes to the Temo cing the minimum lot size from 2 or General? No	ra Local Environmental Plan ha to 1ha. ansport
E	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment : Explanation of provi Is an explanation of provi Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg b) S.117 directions ident * May need the Director	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced increase housing supply, sions provided - s55(2)( visions provided? Yes The planning proposal ide 2010 Lot Size Map - reduced )(c) y been agreed to by the Direct tified by RPA :	choice and viability. b) entifies the changes to the Temo cing the minimum lot size from 2 or General? No 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tr	ra Local Environmental Plan ha to 1ha. ansport
E	Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment : Explanation of provi Is an explanation of provi Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg b) S.117 directions ident * May need the Director Is the Director Gener	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes Clearly states the reduced increase housing supply, sions provided - s55(2)( visions provided? Yes The planning proposal ide 2010 Lot Size Map - reduce )(c) y been agreed to by the Direct tified by RPA : General's agreement	choice and viability. b) entifies the changes to the Temo sing the minimum lot size from 2 or General? No 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tr 6.1 Approval and Referral Requ	ra Local Environmental Plan ha to 1ha. ansport

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	No SEPPs directly relate to the planning proposal.
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS
	3.1 Residential Zones: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or proposed residential zone in which significant residential development is permitted or proposed to be permitted.
	The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this Direction.
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport: The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this Direction.
	Council has considered the Department of Planning and Environment's two relevant documents 'Improving Transport Choice' and 'The Right Place for Business and Services'.
	While it increases residential densities, they are located on existing road and bus links.
	Any potential inconsistency could be considered to be of minor significance.
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements This Direction does not apply to the planning proposal.
Mapping Provided - s5	i5(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Yes	
Comment :	The maps in the planning proposal are adequate for exhibition purposes. The LEP maps shall be prepared in accordance with the Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps (Department of Planning and Environment, 2015) when the plan is submitted for finalisation.
	RECOMMENDATION.
	RECOMMENDATION: Council is to prepare maps in accordance with the 'Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps (Department of Planning and Environment 2015)' when the plan is submitted for finalisation.
Community consultati	on - s55(2)(e)
Has community consultation	on been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Council has proposed 28 days for consultation which is considered adequate.
Additional Director Ge	neral's requirements
Are there any additional Di	irector General's requirements? <b>No</b>
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of th	ne proposal
Does the proposal meet th	e adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>
If No, comment :	

Proposal Assessmer	IT.
--------------------	-----

Princ	inal	I ED.
FIIIC	ipai	LLF,

Due Date :

Comments in relation **Ternora LEP 2010 has commenced operation.** to Principal LEP :

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is the only mechanism to amend the Lot Size Map in Temora LEP 2010.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with Council's local strategy 'Temora Shire Council Local Profile Study and Environmental Strategy 2007' which was prepared for the Principle LEP.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The planning proposal increases the residential density of two areas zoned for low density residential development. The land is extensively cleared with only scattered trees and many planted as ornamentals.
	The Council has undertaken soils testing that demonstrates both sites' ability to accommodate on-site effluent management with a minimum lot size of 1ha.
	There are unlikely to be any environmental impacts. The additional housing supply and choice will benefit Temora socially and economically in terms of housing supply, choice and affordability.

#### **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Precinct		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Other			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.			
If Other, provide reasons	5			

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

cuments		
Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Temora Planning Proposal Large Lot Residential.pdf	Proposal	No
Temora Council Resolution.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No
Temora Covering Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No

#### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
Additional Information :	It is RECOMMENDED that the Acting Director Regions, Southern, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Temora LEP 2010 to, reduce the minimum lot size for land at Bundawarrah Road Estate and Rosella Street Estate from 2 hectares to 1 hectare, should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans (Department of Planning and Environment 2016)'.
	<ol> <li>Consultation is required with the Environment Protection Authority under section 56(2) (d) of the EP&amp;A Act in relation to on-site effluent management. The Environment Protection Authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.</li> </ol>
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	4. The LEP maps shall be prepared in accordance with the Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps (Department of Planning and Environment, 2015) when the plan is submitted for finalisation.
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months from the date of the Gateway determination.
	Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections

	59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS - It is recommended that: (a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance; and (b) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs. The planning proposal does not raise any significant issues because the site is currently zone for low density residential development and has been extensively cleared. The increase in residential density will improve housing supply, choice and viability of infrastructure provision.
Signature:	TT
Printed Name:	Deanne Frankel Date: 26/10/16